

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 09/644,380 | 08/23/2000 | Floyd H. Chilton | | 1698 |
| 21586 7 | 7590 01/11/2006 | | EXAM | INER |
| VINSON & ELKINS, L.L.P. 1001 FANNIN STREET 2300 FIRST CITY TOWER | | KIM, JENNIFER M | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| HOUSTON, TX 77002-6760 | | | 1617 | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED: 01/11/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/644,380 | CHILTON, FLOYD H. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Jennifer Kim | 1617 | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. hely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | , | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | |
| 5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) □ 8) □ Applicati 9) □ 10) □ | Claim(s) 52-55 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 52-55 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according according and according and according according and according according and according acc | wn from consideration. r election requirement. er. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | 710.1011 01 1011111 1 0 102. | | | |
| 12) ြ a) [| Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment | | ,, □ | (DTO 440) | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | ite | | | |
| 3) 🛛 Inforr | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 10/3/2005. | | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/644,380

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed October 3, 2005 have been received and entered into the application.

Action Summary

The rejection of claims 52-55 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph is hereby expressly withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendment.

The rejection of claims 52-55 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeMichele et al. (5,223,285) in view of Igarashi et al. (EP 782827) and Kahn et al. (4,154,863) is being maintained for the reasons stated in the previous Office Action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed October 3, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argue that the nutritional composition represented by Blend C in Table 2 of DeMichele et al. comprises PULMOCARE containing canola oil, corn oil, high oleic safflower oil and medium chain triglyceride oil which is readily distinguished from the oils in the presently claimed dietary supplement. The is not persuasive because the claims are drawn to same technical formulation of nutritional and dietary supplement

Application/Control Number: 09/644,380

Art Unit: 1617

that that Applicants' have not presented any data that the presence of PULMOCARE in the prior art would materially affect the basic and novel characteristics of the claimed invention because the resulting composition would still have the same basic and novel characteristic in that it would still effective as a "dietary supplement". Applicants argue that Table 2 of DeMichele et al. discloses a nutritional composition containing 40% weight percent of fish oil and borage oil but this is a misreading because Table 2 Borage oil and fish oil make up 40% by weight of the lipid blend, not 40% by weight of the nutritional composition. This is not persuasive because the amounts of active agents to be used deemed obvious since they are all within the knowledge of the skilled pharmacologist once the usefulness of a compound is known to be useful in nutritional supplement, it is within the skill of the artisan to determine the optimum ratio. There is lack of data showing that the specified amount of specified oil employed in the present Application having surprising and unexpected result. Thus, the claims fail to patentably distinguish over the state of the art as represented by the cited references.

None of the claims are allowed.

In view of the above Office Action of June 1, 2005 is deemed proper and asserted with full force and repeated to obviate applicants' claims.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1617

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-0628. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 6:30 am to 3 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 09/644,380

Art Unit: 1617

Wadmandon

Page 5

Sreenivasan Padmanabhan Supervisory Examiner Art Unit 1617

Jmk January 9, 2006